# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs. Case No. 1:25-cr-33-RDB

\*

ANDREW STILLING, JR.

\*\*\*\*

### MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER SETTING RELEASE CONDITIONS

Defendant had his detention hearing on February 14, 2025. At that time, Defendant was charged by criminal complaint with Sexual Exploitation of a Child, Receipt of Child Pornography and Distribution of Child Pornography. The Court ordered Defendant detained. (ECF No. 17). The Court noted the presumption of detention for such crimes involving minors under the Bail Reform Act, the recommendation for detention by Pretrial Services, the nature of the offense (including creating and distributing images of Defendant's minor de facto stepdaughter and allegedly possessing 60-plus explicit videos/images of his minor biological son), the strength of the Government's Proffer to include a forensic exam uncovering images on Defendant's phone, Defendant's conversations with an undercover officer, and Defendant's post-Miranda statements. Id. Finally, the Court noted "Given the breach of trust involving minor victims in Defendant's care (including biological child and de facto stepdaughter), the Court is not reasonably assured that Pretrial conditions would be a significant enough deterrent." Id. Notably, Defendant had been on release conditions from state court for almost two months before the matter was re-charged federally, although the Government argued, in part, that earlier release decision was made without the judge's knowledge of the 60-plus videos/images of Defendant's minor biological son.

Defendant has since been indicted and is facing one count of sexual exploitation involving his de facto stepdaughter, two counts of distributing images of her to others online, and one count each of the receipt and possession of eight other sexually explicit material involving minors. (ECF No. 20). The Government describes that the images received from another online individual involving minors other than Defendant's *de facto* stepdaughter as 8 images of "prepubescent children engaged in sexually explicit conduct" and are homemade. (ECF No. 37 at 2). Apparently no other sexually explicit material has been found on any of Defendant's devices.

On March 7, 2025, Defendant moved under Section 3142(f)(2) of the Bail Reform Act, which provides that a detention hearing may be re-opened if the Judicial Officer finds that information exists that was not known to the movant at the time of the hearing and that has a material bearing on the issue of whether there is [suitable conditions of release]. (ECF No. 30). The "unknown information" concerns the alleged 60-plus videos/images of Defendant's biological minor son that the Court also referenced during the hearing, and in its detention order ("minor victims in Defendant's care (including biological child and de facto stepdaughter.") Based on subsequent forensic discovery exchanged (which was obviously not available to the Defense at the time of the bail review hearing), the images of Defendant's son do not qualify as child sexual abuse material, were not taken by a hidden camera, and are not charged in the indictment. Therefore, Defendant argued, the Court should revisit detention since only one of the minors in Defendant's household was allegedly the victim of child exploitation, production, and image distribution, not two. (ECF No. 30 at 4-6). Additionally, Defendant argued that the Government's collateral attack at the hearing on the prior state court release order based on the state court judge, in part, not knowing about the images of Defendant's son, is now invalid such that the Court should give greater weight to the state court's prior release decision. *Id.* at 8-10.

The Government opposed the motion, and Defendant filed a reply to that opposition. (ECF Nos. 37 & 40).

Because the Court had, in part, relied upon the mistaken belief that there were pornographic images of Defendant's son at issue (in addition to those of his de facto stepdaughter), the Court on April 1, 2025, granted the Defendant's motion to re-open "for purposes of hearing additional argument on the issue of detention or release from both Defendant and the Government, including argument concerning any relevant matters that have come to light since the original detention hearing. (ECF No. 43).

The hearing went forward on April 25, 2025. The Government confirmed that the videos/images of Defendant's son were not Child Sexual Abuse Material. The Government did not proffer any additional evidence gathered since the original detention hearing. Defendant's counsel argued that the case should be viewed in a different light because it is now apparent that none of the materials involving Defendant's minor son was illegal or otherwise part of the indictment, that there were no identifiable "victims" other than Defendant's stepdaughter who now resides at a different residence. He further argued that the state court's release decision (and Defendant's successful period of supervised release) should now be given more weight as there is no meaningful difference between the information available to the state court judge and the information presented to this Court. Defendant's counsel emphasized Defendant's immediate cooperation with law enforcement in surrendering his device and admitting to a majority of the conduct, and that the proposed third-party custodian (Defendant's father) was agreeable to removing all internet access from the proposed residence. The Court verified that at the hearing with the third-party custodian, who also confirmed that he was semi-retired and, in the residence, the vast majority of the time except for occasional odd jobs. The Court allowed the parties until

May 2, 2025, to make any final written submission to the Court should they choose to do so. No additional materials were filed.

To be clear, the Court declines to find a meaningful distinction between videos/images involving Defendant's biological child versus his de facto stepdaughter who resided with Defendant for many years. That said, there is certainly a difference in the volume and instances of that material when the information involving Defendant's biological child is excluded from consideration. The Court also finds it meaningful that no further information has been found in the more than two months since the first detention hearing on any of Defendant's devices, and that the material that was found, though certainly disturbing, was not extensive. The Court also finds the proposed third-party custodian to be appropriate given that he is typically in the residence, and that he is willing to eliminate internet access from his home. Further, the primary victim in the case is safely in a different residence. Although the Court fully recognizes that the child or children involved in the 8 illegal images Defendant received from another online user are themselves victims, there is no information that Defendant had or has direct access to them and that his only connection to those images is through the internet. Additionally, the Court does believe that the decision of the state judge (and Defendant's subsequent period of compliance) should be given greater deference since the information relied upon by that judge was largely the same as that presented to this Court as it turns out. Finally, although every case of detention/release turns upon its own facts, the undersign recognizes that a previous order of detention in a case with substantially more extensive allegations was reversed by the then-Chief Judge of this Court who, in a thoughtful and thorough analysis, ultimately ordered release in that case under similar, very strict. conditions offered in the present case. See *United States of* America v. Christopher Bendann, 23-JKB-278 at ECF 30.

Accordingly, the Court will order Defendant released under the conditions outlined in the attached Conditional Release order, which include, *inter alia*, that the residence have no internet service, that Defendant shall have no contact with minors (except that previously provided for by the State court in its release order), that the Defendant neither possess nor have access to any devices that can access the internet, and that any exceptions to Defendant's home confinement shall be in the company of his Third Party Custodian.

Note that this order is temporarily stayed to allow the Government time to decide whether it will appeal the order to the U.S. District Judge. The Government shall make this decision no later than close of business on May 7, 2025, and notify Chambers, Pretrial Services, and Defense Counsel of its decision. Should the Government appeal, the order is further stayed until a decision on that appeal. Should the Government not appeal, Defendant shall be released pending verification from Pretrial Services that all release conditions are in place.

<u>5/5/2025</u> Date

J. Mark Coulson United States Magistrate Judge

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

		for tl District of N			
	United States of America v.  ANDREW STILLING, JR.  Defendant	)	Case No. RI	DB-25-cr-33	
	ORDER S	ETTING COND	ITIONS OF	RELEASE	
IT IS ORDE	RED that the defendant's release is s	subject to these condi	tions:		
(1)	The defendant must not violate an	y federal, state or lo	cal law while on	release.	
(2)	The defendant must cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample if the collection is authorized by 34 U.S.C. § 40702.				
(3)	The defendant's residence must be approved by the U.S. Pretrial Services Officer (USPTO) supervising the defendant's release and the defendant must advise the court, defense counsel, and the U.S. attorney in writing before any change in address or telephone number.				
(4)	The defendant must appear in court as required and must surrender to serve any sentence imposed				
	The defendant must appear at (if b	plank, to be notified)		De Notifed	
•		on		D	
				Date and Time	
	Release o	n Personal Recogni	zance or Unsecu	red Bond	
וד וכ בווסדנ	IER ORDERED that the defendant b	_			
	defendant promises to appear in cou			any sentence imposed.	
(6) The defendant executes an unsecured bond binding the defendant to pay to the United States the sum of					
				dollars (\$	
	in the event of a failure to appear as	required or surrende	er to serve any se	ntence imposed.	
•	ADDI	TIONAL CONDIT	IONS OF RELI	EASE	
assure the app	8 U.S.C. § 3142(c)(1)(B), the court is pearance of the person as required an IER ORDERED that the defendant's	nd the safety of any o	ther person and t	•	
(7) The	defendant is placed in the custody of at an address approved by the Pretria	f (name of person or		Deforday t's father	
,	supervise the defendant in accordance	ce with all of the con	ditions of release	the Pretrial Services Office who agrees (a) to s, (b) to use every effort to assure the defendant's immediately if the defendant violates any	
Signed:	11				
Custo	dian or Proxy	Date		Tel. No (only if above is an organization)	

AO 199A (Rev. 11/08; Rev MD 07/21) Order Setting Conditions of Release	Page 2 of 4
(8) The defendant must:	
(a) report to the	
telephone number no later than	
(b) report on a regular basis to the supervising officer. The defendant shall promptly obtainstructions of the supervising officer.	ey all reasonable directions and
(c) execute a bond or an agreement to forfeit upon failing to appear as required the follow property:	wing sum of money or designated
(d) post with the court the following proof of ownership of the designated property, or the the above-described sum	e following amount or percentage of
(e) execute a bail bond with solvent sureties in the	
(f) maintain or actively seek employment as approved by the U.S. Pretrial Services Office	cer.
(g) maintain or commence an education program.	
(h) surrender any passport to: Glerk of Court	
्र 🗹 (i) obtain no passport.	
(j) abide by the following restrictions on personal association, place of abode, or travel:  Travel restricted to D. Md.; Po not change residence	e wo Cost appear
(k) avoid all contact, directly or indirectly, with any person who is or may become a viction investigation or prosecution, including but not limited to:  Stp-casultr and her mother; Augore else identified in	im or potential witness in the
(1) undergo medical or psychiatric treatment: As Live tel by Pre-	tal behices
(m) maintain residence at a halfway house or community corrections center, as the pretria	l services office or supervising officer
considers necessary. (n) refrain from possessing a firearm, destructive device, or other dangerous weapons.	
(o) refrain from any excessive use of alcohol.	
<ul> <li>(p) refrain from use or unlawful possession of a narcotic drug or other controlled substance</li> <li>prescribed by a licensed medical practitioner.</li> </ul>	ces defined in 21 U.S.C. § 802, unless
(q) submit to any testing required by the pretrial services office or the supervising officer using a prohibited substance. Any testing may be used with random frequency and in sweat patch, a remote alcohol testing system, and/or any form of prohibited substance must refrain from obstructing or attempting to obstruct or tamper, in any fashion, with prohibited substance testing or monitoring which is (are) required as a condition of rel	nclude urine testing, the wearing of a screening or testing. The defendant the efficiency and accuracy of any lease.
<ul> <li>(r) participate in a program of inpatient or outpatient substance abuse therapy and counse supervising officer considers it advisable.</li> </ul>	eling if the pretrial services office or
(s) participate in one of the following location restriction programs and comply with its r	equirements as directed.
(i) Curfew. You are restricted to your residence every day (□) from	to , or
(□) as directed by the pretrial services office or supervising officer;	
(ii) Home Detertion. You are restricted to your residence at all times except for em services; medical, substance abuse, or mental health treatment; attorney visits; co obligations; or	
(iii) Home Confinement. You are restricted to 24-hour-a-day lock-down at your resattorney visits, court appearances, or other activities specifically approved by the  (iv) Stand Alone Monitoring. You have no residential curfew, home detention, or he	sidence except for medical necessities, court; And only accompanies which by
(iv) Stand Alone Monitoring. You have no residential currew, home detention, or he However, you must comply with the location or travel restrictions as imposed by  (v) Other.	the court.
(t) submit to the following location monitoring technology and comply with its requirem (i) Location monitoring technology as directed by the pretrial services or supervising	nents as directed:
(ii) Voice Recognition/Virtual Monitoring; or	
☐ (iii) Radio Frequency; or ☐ (iv) GPS.	
(u) pay all or part of the cost of location monitoring based upon your ability to pay as det supervising officer.	termined by the pretrial services or

(v) Refrain from the use of computer systems, Internet-capable devices and/or similar electronic devices at any location (including employment or educational program) without the prior written approval of the U.S. Probation or Pretrial Services Officer. The defendant shall cooperate with the U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services Office monitoring of compliance with this condition. Cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, participating in a Computer & Internet Monitoring Program, identifying computer systems, Internet-capable devices and/or similar electronic devices the defendant has access to, allowing the installation of monitoring software/hardware at the defendant's expense, and permitting random, unannounced examinations of computer systems, Internet-capable devices and similar electronic devices under the defendant's control.
1 (w) Acsidence shall have us intruet access; Defudant shallnot us,
possess or have access to any intruet capable devices
DY(x) No confact with any minor except as previously allowed in State Court
refrage of for as to Miscor Sout, and the all infraction of
Third party (196 to 1942
Order is stayed pending the result of any appel of this order to
the District Sudge, however Gov't mist wateffection on any appeal
by Close of Busiliteds on May 7, 2005.
<del></del>
<del></del>

#### ADVICE OF PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS

#### TO THE DEFENDANT:

#### YOU ARE ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING PENALTIES AND SANCTIONS:

Violating any of the foregoing conditions of release may result in the immediate issuance of a warrant for your arrest, a revocation of your release, an order of detention, a forfeiture of any bond, and a prosecution for contempt of court and could result in imprisonment, a fine, or both.

While on release, if you commit a federal felony offense the punishment is an additional prison term of not more than ten years and for a federal misdemeanor offense the punishment is an additional prison term of not more than one year. This sentence will be consecutive (i.e., in addition to) to any other sentence you receive.

It is a crime punishable by up to ten years in prison, and a \$250,000 fine, or both, to: obstruct a criminal investigation; tamper with a witness, victim, or informant; retaliate or attempt to retaliate against a witness, victim, or informant; or intimidate or attempt to intimidate a witness, victim, juror, informant, or officer of the court. The penalties for tampering, retaliation, or intimidation are significantly more serious if they involve a killing or attempted killing.

If, after release, you knowingly fail to appear as the conditions of release require, or to surrender to serve a sentence, you may be prosecuted for failing to appear or surrender and additional punishment may be imposed. If you are convicted of:

- (1) an offense punishable by death, life imprisonment, or imprisonment for a term of fifteen years or more you will be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both;
- (2) an offense punishable by imprisonment for a term of five years or more, but less than fifteen years you will be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both;
- (3) any other felony you will be fined not more than \$250,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both;
- (4) a misdemeanor you will be fined not more than \$100,000 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

A term of imprisonment imposed for failure to appear or surrender will be consecutive to any other sentence you receive. In addition, a failure to appear or surrender may result in the forfeiture of any bond posted.

Acknowledgment of the Defendant					
	that I am aware of the conditions of release. I promise to obey all conditions of nce imposed. I am aware of the penalties and sanctions set forth above.				
	Defendant's Signature				
	City and State				
Directions t	to the United States Marshal				
posted bond and/or complied with all other condiappropriate judge at the time and place specified.  April 17, 2025/ May 5, 200, 5  J. Mark	the defendant in custody until notified by the elerk or judge that the defendant has itions for release. If still in custody, the defendant must be produced before the Judicial Officer's Signature  k Coulson , United States Magistrate Judge  Printed name and title				
Will appeal the order to the I make no later than Close of	District Judge, such decision to be of Business on May 7, 2025				